To:

Distribution

From:

O. Schwerer & hluvee

Subject:

Comments on TRANS R008

Please find attached our comments on TRANS R008. Since some centres receive this TRANS through us, we flagged those mistakes which were corrected at NDS.

Distribution:

M.R. Bhat, NNDC
N. Tubbs, NEA-DB
V.N. Manokhin, CJD
F.E. Chukreev, CAJaD
T. Tendow, RIKEN
V. Varlamov, CDFE
M. Chiba, JCPRG
Zhang Jingshang, IAE-CP
V. McLane, NNDC

NDS: R. Arcilla
S. Ganesan
M. Lammer
H.D. Lemmel
P. Oblozinsky
A. Pashchenko
O. Schwerer
H. Wienke

3 spare copies

Comments on TRANS R008

Use of keyword RAD-DET:

When the radiation detected can be implied without ambiguity from the information under DECAY-DATA, RAD-DET need not be given. This is the case for most subentries in this TRANS. (In the cases where 2 radiation types, e.g. DG and AR, are given under DECAY-DATA, repeating them under RAD-DET has more meaning, since it emphasises that both radiation types were actually measured.)

Entry	Subent	Line(s)		Comment
R0040	1 2	3,4 3,4	C C C	BIB record: N1 = 13 parentheses missing (()+()) add 'A' to SF8 because measured quantity is not arithmetic sum of cross sections but is weighted by the isotopic abundances of Zn-66 and Zn-67 Only MISC given in DATA section but no DATA which is illegal. Either redefine as relative cross section or thick target yield, or a new EXFOR quantity has to be introduced. (In our corrected version we changed it to a relative cross section and changed MISC to DATA. If this is not a correct representation of the measured quantity, retransmission is requested.)
R0047	2			(probably supersedes P0040.004)
R0048	2	3	C	IND in SF5 should be deleted (redundant because there is no cumulative channel)
R0049 *)	2,3,4			Illegal use of multiple reaction formalism. Multiple reactions given in the same subentry must have same projectile and same target. On the other hand, (A,N+A)48-CD-115-G and (A,N+A)48-CD-115-M could be combined in 1 subentry, and the same for HE3.

^{*)} Retransmission requested

C = Correction made at NDS