

To: Distribution *HL*
From: *pink* P.M. Attree and H.D. Lemmel
Subject: "Raw" data

10 March 1978

Reference: Memo 4C-2/103 of 78/2/3

Note: Manual update proposed.

We believe that it is one of the functions of a data-centre to collect "raw" data, which mainly include transmission data and reaction yield data, including "counts" or data given in "barns" but not yet fully corrected.

There exists a related Lexfor entry on "RAW data", see Memo 4C-2/36, (which is missing in the NNDC Manual). This needs a revision in its last sentence, where it said so far: "The STATUS should be given as (PRELM). If possible, the data should be replaced by the corrected cross-section data later on".

This statement is superseded for two reasons:

- there may be preliminary as well as final "raw" data;
- it seems to be the common understanding that "raw" data and cross-sections and resonance parameters should all be stored, where feasible.

See the proposed revision of this Lexfor entry attached.

The handling of such "raw" data creates special problems, in particular:

- it is often voluminous (e.g. the "raw" data from the Australian ORELA experiment may have about 2 million data lines, which is more than the entire EXFOR library from areas 1 - 4 at the end of 1977);
- the authors are often reluctant to release such data for wide distribution, as would be the case for a normal EXFOR entry.

Distribution

1. S. Pearlstein, NNDC
2. H. Derrien, CCDN
3. V.N. Manokhin, CJD

NDS: P.M. Attree
G. Lammer
H.D. Lemmel
A. Lorenz
H. Marin-Guzman
K. Okamoto
O. Schwerer
J.J. Schmidt
P.M. Smith

Clearance:
J.J. Schmidt

W. Schmidt

We therefore would like to follow the procedure introduced by NNDC in entries 10081 (065 - 070). That is, to store the "raw" data on special archival tapes as submitted by the authors (which may be called, e.g., EXFOR SUPPL NDS 001), and to prepare "NODATA" entries with a cross-reference to the archival tape. (See entries 30429 and 30430 on our next transmission tape, to be distributed soon). This requires a revision of the Lexfor entry "NODATA" for which a proposal is attached.

In the following cases "NODATA" Exfor entries may be transmitted which do not contain numerical data in the DATA section;

1.) Unobtainable data: In the case where a center is aware that data exist, but the center is unable to obtain the data, a "NODATA" entry should be made in Exfor to inform the other centers (and their users) of the status of the data. This will eliminate many repeated requests for the same data and needless bookkeeping concerning data which will be entered into the system with extensive delays or not at all. Under STATUS the code (UNOBT) is entered followed by free text giving, if known, the date when the data may be expected to be released, or the reason why the data cannot be obtained.

2.) Voluminous "raw" data: (Compare LX4: Raw data)
Voluminous files of "raw" data may be stored on special archival tapes. A "NODATA" Exfor entry is then transmitted giving under STATUS a cross-reference to the special archival tape, the approximate number of records, and information how to obtain the data upon request. In case that the author so wishes, a warning is given in free text that the raw data should be used only after consultation with the author.

In both cases the BIB section of the "NODATA" entry should be prepared as usual, containing at least the obligatory keywords (see page VIII.4).

The COMMON section should contain minimum and maximum of the incident-particle energy, if known.

The DATA section is replaced by the system identifier NODATA (compare page III.9). The system identifier NODATA cannot occur in subentry 001 so that, as usual, an entry consists of a minimum of two subentries.

CP-D/52

"Raw" data are experimental data which are not yet reduced to the final form but still contain, for example, contributions from detector efficiency, instrumental resolution, or sample thickness.

Although such data are of little use to normal data-center customers, it has been recognized that "raw" data should be stored by the data-centers. Such data would then be available

- for re-analysis when improvements in analysis techniques are made; and
- for realistic assessments of data errors becoming increasingly important to evaluators.

(See recommendation by the NEANDC/NEACRP meeting on neutron data for structural materials, Geel, Dec.1977.)

The following types of "raw" data have been encountered so far. They are coded in Exfor by adding the modifier "RAW" to the quantity code for the related cross-section.

(a) measured transmissions,

$$\langle e^{-n\bar{\sigma}_T} \rangle = 1 - n\langle\bar{\sigma}_T\rangle + \frac{1}{2} n^2 \langle\bar{\sigma}_T^2\rangle - + \dots ;$$

(b) reaction yields,

$$\langle y_r \rangle = \langle (1 - e^{-n\bar{\sigma}_T}) \bar{\sigma}_r / \bar{\sigma}_T + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_{r,i} \rangle ;$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes resolution broadening, n is the sample thickness in nuclei/barn, $\bar{\sigma}_T$ and $\bar{\sigma}_r$ are the Doppler-broadened, abundance-weighted total and partial reaction cross-sections, respectively, and $y_{r,i}$ is the reaction yield from neutrons scattered i times before inducing the reaction of type r , e. g. fission, scattering or radiative capture.

Note that for thin samples ($n\bar{\sigma}_T \ll 1$) the following simple relations with the (broadened) cross sections exist:

$$-\frac{1}{n} \ln \langle e^{-n\bar{\sigma}_T} \rangle = \langle \bar{\sigma}_T \rangle , \quad \frac{1}{n} \langle y_r \rangle = \langle \bar{\sigma}_r \rangle .$$

Data may be given as "counts" or as uncorrected cross-sections in "barns".

If additional cases are encountered they must be included explicitly under this LEXFOR entry.

In any case, explanation in free text is required.

If possible, the raw data should be supplemented by the corrected cross-section data and/or resonance parameters, with appropriate cross-referencing. Voluminous sets of raw data may be stored on special archival tapes referenced in EXFOR in "NODATA" entries. See LX4: NODATA.
=====

40-2/103

40-2/36