Memo 4C-3/177

To:

Distribution

24 September 1976

HOL H.D. Lemmel + P. Smith !5.

Subject:

Erroneous quantity codes in Exfor

When applying our new Exfor indexing program we found the following erroneous quantity codes in Exfor.

Entry

INL, LEG, RS/PAR should read INL, LEG, PAR/RS. 10010 (corrected at NDS)

ANU should read ANU, RES. 10079 (corrected at NDS)

10093

- We have not received a proper definition of the modifier PAD.
- We should like to request again (compare Memo 4¢-3/166 item 7.e) that NNCSC submits a Lexfor entry defining all the quantities transmitted by NNCSC with codes POL

POL/DA ASY ASY/DA.

Such data exist in a variety of representations, and the value of these Exfor entries is limited without knowing the precise meaning of these data.

10110 10285 INL, LEG, 2L2/PAR should read INL, LEG, PAR/2L2 10286 (corrected at NDS). 10469

trailing comma to be dropped, or modifier missing. Retrans-10111 INL, LEG. : mission requested.

EL/WID, RED, S: illegal modifier. What is meant? Retransmission requested. 10292

10297 NNP.DA/DE: particle to be specified. Retransmission requested.

10383 POT should read EL, POT (corrected at NDS)

10389 NP, PAR, DN: What is meant? Retransmission requested.

Distribution:

S. Pearlstein, NNCSC

L. Lesca, NDCC

V. Manokhin, CJD

P.M. Attree NDS:

H.D. Lemmel

R. Lessler

A. Lorenz

K. Okamoto

J.J. Schmidt

0. Schwerer

P.M. Smith

R. Yaghubian

file

Clearance: J.J. Schmidt Mlunst 10540 G,RES: Although this quantity had been entered in Dictionary 14 when this entry was transmitted, this quantity code should be obsoleted, and the corresponding column-heading keyword should be used instead. Retransmission requested.

These entries still have the ominous DRT modifier. It would help if these entries could be retransmitted. So far we have corrected DRT to RAW without checking whether this is appropriate.

This entry contains the interesting quantity INL,,GND which we detected as an error and converted to EL (!) ... almost, before noticing that the target nucleus was in a metastable state and "INL,,GND" was really correct.— Certainly, NDCC should have submitted a 4C-Memo with adequate explanation when introducing this rare quantity!

40018.002 NF/WID, SØ should read NF/WID, SO (corrected at NDS)

40026.009 NG/WID,,AN should read NG/WID,,AV (corrected at NDS)

40036. INL,,PAR,G should read ING,,PAR (corrected at NDS)

40049.001 SCT/CS should read SCT (corrected at NDS)

40060.002 We converted TOT, DRT to TOT, RAW

40062.002 NG,GND should read NG,,GND (corrected at NDS)

40075.005 EL, DA/POL should read EL, POL/DA (corrected at NDS). Compare comment 2. under 10093 above.

40112.001 ENDSUBENT missing. Retransmission requested.

40131. ING, PAR, SPA should read ING, PAR/SPA (corrected at NDS)

40139. INL, PAR should read INL, , PAR (corrected at NDS)

40144.002 NF, VLD, PRE should read NF, YLD, PRE (corrected at NDS)

40163.003 RI should read NG, RI (corrected at NDS)

40183.006 J should read J, RES (corrected at NDS)

40204.003 NF, NU, , PR should read NU, , PR (corrected at NDS)

40238.020 INL, DA/DA should read INL, DA/DE (corrected at NDS)

40241.002 L should read L, RES (corrected at NDS)

The corrections made within the 50-80 000 series are not included in this memo.