


MEMORANDUM 4C-2/34

From: Hans Potters 
Subject: NNCSC EXFOR tapes

7th February 1973

Contents:

	<u>Page</u>
General	2
TRANS 1005	3
TRANS 1006	4
TRANS 1007	7
TRANS 1008	8
TRANS 1009	10
TRANS 1010	15
TRANS 1011	18
Dictionary tape	
TRANS 9012	22

4C-2/34

- 2 -

GENERAL

1. All details of the last Four-Centre meeting decisions have not been taken into account as neither the minutes nor the dictionary updates had been received at the time this memorandum was written.
2. Would you please put blanks between numbers and their units in free text. This will increase legibility.
3. When we study the overall number of errors in NNCSC tapes we sometimes see heavy bursts of serious errors concentrated in a few mostly big entries. We feel that perhaps NNCSC rely too much on data sent by authors in EXFOR format or on author proofs.

CCDN's experience is that we discover sometimes more errors in data sent by private communication than the author discovers on author proofs. Most authors are pleased when we correct their errors.

In addition, one cannot expect the author to be aware of all the subtitle terminology pedantries in EXFOR (e.g. in the ISO-QUANT formalism, or take the STANDARD Absolute).

Many errors require immediate correction at CCDN (wrong numbers and often ISO-QUANTS), and this will slow down the conversion procedure.

4C-2/34

TRANS 1005

10023.001

DETECTOR

Line 17: over misprinted.

.002

ISO-OUANT

Is this an activation measurement or is it a cross section branching ratio to delayed neutron decay? So FCT instead of PAR. In any case, the ISO-QUANT needs explanation in free text.

10052.023

A

COMMON

Should not $\lambda = 0$ be entered here as in the other subentries?

10059.002

DATA

Where are the data below 43 eV subentry 001 mentions under STANDARD?

10098.001

METHOD

Code not expanded.

STANDARD

Drop.

10135.001

STANDARD

Line 36: cross section misspelt.

COMMENT

Should be ANALYSIS.

10136.001

ERR-ANALYS

Line 19: statistical misspelt.

10137.001

TITLE

Where are the \emptyset -16 data?

COMMON

?

EN-ERR > EN-RSL: Is this correct?

10179.034-038

COMMON

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL and Q-VAL-ERR should be Q-VAL-RSL.

4C-2/34

TRANS 1006

10028.002-005

COMMON

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

10045.002-062

ISO-QUANT

On basis of the gamma energies a more detailed decision should be possible concerning the reaction (NG or ING). Use the = sign.

.002-076

COMMON

EN-ERR and ANG-ERR should be -RSL.

.063-076

COMMON

E should be E-LVL.

.076

COMMON

? E-LVL should be 1109 keV.

10048.001

STATUS

Author misspelt.

.086-088

BIB

Line 8 out of order.

.087-090

COMMON

EN-ERR and Q-VAL-ERR should be -RSL.

.087-090

COMMON

A.? The discrepancies between the values of .088 and .089 and .087 and .090 (factor 10) lead to the conclusion that the Q-values of .089 and .090 have probably to be inverted.

.091

METHOD

Transmission.

10058.002

DATA

Where are the data below 35 eV subwork .001 mentions under STANDARD?

10073.125-135

COMMON

EN -ERR + Q-VAL-ERR should be -RSL.

10081.042

ISO-QUANT

Drop /G (even-even nuclide).

.063

ISO-QUANT

Enter RED function designation.

4C-2/34

10086.001

METHOD

(ACTIV) should go to the relevant subentries.

.002-003

CORRECTION

Why is the absorption in the Uranium foil (9% correction) mentioned in .003 and not in .002? It is exactly the same foil according to SAMPLE.

.005

DATA

What is the difference between the two pairs of values?

10094.019,080

DATA

?

ANG = 95 ADEG should be 90 ADEG.

.076,077

DATA

A.?

E = .989 MEV should be .984 MEV?

10108.005,025,050

DATA

*DATA-ERR = 0.0%: what does this mean?

.008,009,016)
 .017,026,027)
 .037,038,073)

COMMON

E-LVL = 0.0 MEV can be dropped.

009,011,017)
 019,027,029)
 038,040)

STATUS

Dependent.

056-070

ISO-QUANT

?

AV means Energy average. Here it is probably an average over angle. What are the angle boundaries? It is better to use free text in these cases.

071-087

STATUS

?

Should this not be dependent on 058-070?

10109.001

COMMENT

Where are the Legendre expansions?

002-004,007,)
 011,015,018,)
 022,029,047)

COMMON

E-LVL = 0.0 MEV can be dropped.

015

DATA

+DATA-ERR = 0.0% ; what does this mean?

4C-2/34

- 6 -

10114.001

REFERENCE (also TRANS 1009)

Wrong data: ,7101.

.006

DATA

DATA * 1.E-4.

10140.001

BIB

Line 14: Neutrons misspelt.

10229.001

BIB

Line 17: Germanium misspelt.

4C-2/34

- 7 -

TRANS 1007

10060.001

ISO-QUANT/DATA

- ?

Comparison with Paya's Np^{237} NF data shows that this is probably NF,,RTE with units B:RTE.

10062.002

DATA

Where are the data below 51 eV mentioned under STANDARD in subwork 001?

10095.028,029

COMMENT

Is the weak gamma the gamma actually measured or a contamination? In the first case the ISO-QUANT is wrong: in the second it should be stated in the comment.

Is the energy 7.40 or 7.44?

.041

BIB

Line 6: Gammas misspelt.

10096.001

BIB

Line 14: Separation misspelt.

10097.001

BIB

Line 24: Relative misspelt.

10175.001

FACILITY

Drop (NONE).

4C-2/34

TRANS 1008

10090.001

N-SOURCE

From "Neutron flux ..." until end contains information which should go under STANDARD or possibly under DETECTOR.

ERR-ANALYS

Second paragraph belongs to ANALYSIS, as does the sentence "Data quoted ..." in the first paragraph.

Information should preferably be placed under the relevant subentries.

all

COMMON

Subentry 001 should contain EN, EN-RSL (and not -ERR) which also applies to the temperature data.

10114.010

STANDARD

? Should it not be absolute too?

10138.001

REFERENCE

Date: 7105).

10139.001

DETECTOR

Counter, Monitor misspelt.

10149.001

COMMON

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

10172.001

STANDARD

Drop.

011

COMMON

A.? $\ell = 0$ as in the others?

.028,029,
.030,032

DATA/FLAG

For p-wave resonances $\Gamma_n^0(\ell = 0)$ is a meaningless quantity (or is it $\Gamma_n^0(\ell = 1)$ for these resonances?).

They therefore have to be deleted from .029 and flagged in .028 as p-wave. The p-wave resonances can then be deleted from .032 also.

What is the correct resonance energy, 74.2 and 185 keV (028,029) or 74.4 and 185.6 keV (032)?

.042,043

DATA

Last line is illegitimate.

4C-2/34

- 9 -

10174.001

ERR-ANALYS (Please put it after STANDARD)

Relative error also means error given in percent. In order to avoid confusion, it would be better to say error in relative cross section (excluding normalization error) and total error (including normalization error).

COMMON

ANG-ERR should be ANG-RSL and EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

10180.001

ERR-ANALYS

Last sentence should go under ANALYSIS.

10181.001

METHOD

Code (TOF) missing.

Drop point after background.

10194.001

SAMPLE

Unclear sentence.

Which target is liquid? H₂ and D₂? In that case it should be under the relevant subentries .002 and .003.

.002-010

DATA

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

4C-2/34

TRANS 1009

10051.001

SAMPLE

GD203: "Enrich ..." line missing.

REFERENCE

Date: 6904).

STANDARD

Drop.

.010

ISO-QUANT

Should be NG/WID.

.012

SAMPLE

GD-203.

.015

ANALYSIS

Line missing.

.015,019

ANALYSIS

.024,027

AREA should be HIST histogram method on individual $\ln^0(\lambda = 0)$ obtained by area analysis.

.030

.015-032

COMMON

? $\lambda = 0$ appears to be put at random:

(a) STF

Values obtained from resonance parameters should probably have $\lambda = 0$: 024 does not.

Values obtained by least square fit to average transmission may or may not have $\lambda = 0$: please check .016 which has not $\lambda = 0$ and 020,023 which have.

(b) EL/RAD

What is this quantity doing with $\lambda = 0$? 022,026,029 have $\lambda = 0$, 018,032 have not.

A We have assumed $\lambda = 0$ for STF from resonance parameters and no λ for STF from transmission and for EL/RAD.

This entry is another good example that author proof in itself is not reliable.

10061.001)
10063.001)
10064.001)

TITLE/PART-DET

Missing.

4C-2/34

- 11 -

10063.002

DATA

Where are the data below 48 eV? (see .001 under STANDARD).

10072.001

TITLE

Two lines inverted.

10087.001

GEOMETRY

There is nothing of geometry in it: should go under DETECTOR.

.002,003

DATA

Combine in one table as more independent variables are allowed for.

Note the ambiguity of the units in DATA-ERR column: what is meant - percentage of the DATA column or the absolute error in the DATA? This might be confusing. Has anyone a solution?

10125.001

METHOD

Unclear sentence: coincidences between neutrons and fission fragments yielded ratios of prompt neutron fission yields relative to the standards.

PART-DET

Add FF.

.005

DATA

A Half-life missing (152 years?).

.006

ISO-QUANT/DATA

Should be SF/NU,,PR according to TITLE. Drop EN-DUMMY.

10131.001

ANALYSIS

Code wrongly used (only for resonance parameters).

.002

DATA

Right-adjust numbers.

Zero energy means extrapolated to 0. This should be added under ANALYSIS. Alternatively, if the value is unknown EN-DUMMY should be used.

4C-2/34

- 12 -

10141.001

STANDARD

,NG missing in code.

METHOD/ANALYSIS

Information should all go under ANALYSIS in the relevant subentries.

Expand METHOD - code.

Add (AREA) under ANALYSIS where appropriate.

What is the ANALYSIS for Γ_n ?

.003,005

ISO-QUANT

Why different modifiers?

10157.001

METHOD

Add Activation.

COMMON

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

10171.001

METHOD/RESID-NUC

Add activation with Am^{243} as residual nucleus. ASSOP is not true as N-SOURCE is Reactor.

ERR-ANALYS

Unclear text: N = neutron flux, T = time. What is VNOT - some velocity? RMS error is total root-mean-square error: put a bar between lines 025 and 026.

10184.001

FACILITY

Dynamiton misspelt; also, code and expansion are wrong.

ERR-ANALYSIS

Unclear text: systematical error is the RMS sum: $0.12\% = 3.0 \text{ MB}$.

Statistical error = 1.5 MB.

What is standard error? There is no normalization (Transmission measurement, Absolute) = 2.3 MB. The RMS adds up to 4.0 MB.

4C-2/34

10185.001 ? ERR-ANALYS

Missing.

10211.001 ? TITLE

Missing.

.007-008 ? ERR-ANALYS

Missing.

10231.002 COMMON

E MEV 0.691.

DATA

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

Independent variable repeated :

1.0700E+00, 1.1650E+00, 1.1950E+00,
 1.2210E+00, 1.3710E+00, 1.5820E+00,
 1.7270E+00, 1.7790E+00, 2.0020E+00(3*),
 2.3890E+00, 2.4750E+00, 2.5240E+00,
 2.6820E+00, 2.7830E+00, 3.1080E+00,
 3.1860E+00, 3.3900E+00, 3.5900E+00,
 3.7960E+00, 3.9940E+00, 4.9960E+00.

10232.006 COMMENT

Should be STANDARD.

10233.001 STATUS

Expand SCSRS.

? ERR-ANALYS/FACILITY/N-SOURCE/PART-DET

Missing.

.002-049 COMMON

Enter the Q-values.

DATA

Independent variable repeated:

400., 450., 470., 500., 550., 600.

A We assume that the order of the data lines in .002 corresponds to the order of the subentries .003-.049. Please flag second measurements in future in

4C-2/34

order to avoid different Legendre expansions being mixed up, especially when they go in one table in the future.

10234.002-009 A

DATA

Assumed units MB/SR.

10252.001 ?

TITLE/STANDARD

Missing.

2 2
3 2
4 2
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

4C-2/34

- 15 -

TRANS 1010

10043.001

STANDARD

Information belongs under DETECTOR.
Standard information missing.

COMMON

Move out ANG (double).

10074.001

REFERENCE

Add month (03).

METHOD

Take out second line.

STANDARD

Drop.

ANALYSIS

Which analysis belongs to which resonance parameter? It should preferably go under relevant subentries.

.009-010 ?

ISO-QUANT

G modifier correct? (even-even nuclide).

.013

DATA

Unit EV of DATA-column should be NO-DIM.

.016

ISO-QUANT

A in second term should be 53.

.026

DATA

Line 10: 4. under DATA column.

.030

DATA

Strength function * 1.E-4.

.033

ISO-QUANT

(24-CR-54, STF).

10093.001

METHOD

(TRNSM) should go under subwork 002.

STANDARD

Move out code.

4C-2/34

- 16 -

.002

DATA

Independent variable repeated for 0.410, 0.425, 0.430, 0.435, 0.440, 0.450, 0.575, 0.700, 0.775, 0.925, 1.375, 1.400 & 1.750.

.071-138

DATA

A Unit DATA-ERR ambiguous. We took it as an absolute error.

.081

DATA

Line 17: Decimal point missing.

.206-210

DATA

These subentries should be deleted, stating that for these energies the calculation gave no meaningful results.

10191.001

INC-SPECT

Missprint: PI should be Pl.

.002-009

DATA

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

10196.001

COMMON

Why not give the whole energy range 3.4-4.2 GEV (or 4.8 GEV) in COMMON?

10209.001

METHOD

Comparison misspelt.

STANDARD

Code absolute.

ISO-QUANTS

Add to dictionary 14

N3N,,PAR
N3N,DA,PAR
N3N,DA/DE,PAR

.005.006

ISO-QUANT

Should not both have (or not have) DE?

10230.001

BIB

Line 20: Separated misspelt.

4C-2/34

CORRECTION/ERR-ANALYS

Unclear: 20 percent from the 0.5 percent correction? Etc.

.005

SAMPLE

AL203-AL.

4C-2/34

TRANS 1011

10022.001

METHOD

(ACTIV) missing.

PART-DET

Move to appropriate subentries.

COMMON

EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.

.002,003

RESID-NUC/PART-DET

Anihilation gammas (AR).

.020-022

RESID-NUC

Wrong extension.

Half-life missing.

.024-026

PART-DET

? Should probably be beta or gamma of the fission product MØ-99. The corresponding fission fragments in the chain will probably have lower Z-values.

10037.001,003,
.005,007,
.009,011

STANDARD

? Is the hydrogen STANDARD in subwork 001 also valid for the total cross section subentries?

.012-074

COMMON

Add EN-RSL.

.054

EN-SEC

Line 5: level energy missing.

10039.001

STANDARD

EL should be CØH.

.002

DATA

Why EN-DUMMY with a monochromatic source? (is not thermal as stated in ANALYSIS of subentry 001).

FLAG

Spin state ± 0.5 means polarization in the direction or in inverse direction of the C-axis? Change wording.

4C-2/34

- 19 -

10041.001

REFERENCE
Month 10).

10042.001

INSTITUTE
A Approved by author, so Institute is known: CRC?

METHOD

Part of it should go under ANALYSIS.

.004

ISO-QUANT
Add NP,RI to dictionary 14.

10123.001

STANDARD
Missing.

10147.001

SAMPLE
Last line unclear, put (RES.INT) and (THERMAL VAL.).

SAMPLE/CORRECTION

SAMPLE states isotopic impurities not observable and CORRECTION applies a 5 - 20% correction for it.

REFERENCE

Month should be 03.

.002,003

DATA
Blank out error column.

.006,007

COMMON
A RED parameter and no λ -value given ($\lambda = 0?$).

10148.001

METHOD
Should be: (PLSED)pulse die-away method.

STANDARD

Code obsolete.

10155.001

REFERENCE
Month should be 03).

DETECTOR

Invalid code.

4C-2/34

	<u>STANDARD</u>
	Why not simply (79-AU-297,NG) ?
.002,003	<u>DATA</u>
	Flag 1. in .002 inconsistent with flag 2.in .003.
.004	<u>ISO-QUANT</u>
	Wrong Z-value.
10156.001	<u>ERR-ANALYS</u>
	Quoted <u>misspelt</u> .
.002,003	<u>DATA/INC-SPECT</u>
	EN should give either 1.335 Angstrom or 0.0459 eV or both.
10163.002-013	<u>COMMON</u>
	EN-ERR should be EN-RSL.
	<u>ISO-QUANT</u>
	DA function parameter missing. Are there any data for EL,ASY and EL,POL i.e. without angle given?
10213.001	<u>PART-DET</u>
	? Neutrons and He ³ recoils in coincidence?
	<u>HISTORY</u>
	Which table in which reference?
.003	<u>COMMENT</u>
	Should be STANDARD as in 10163.
10223.001	<u>METHOD</u>
	(TOF) missing.
	<u>STANDARD</u>
	Applies to subworks .002-004 only.
.002-004)	<u>DATA</u>
.006)	Move out zeros at the beginning.
.005-010	<u>ISO-QUANT</u>
	Should be NF,DA, <u>FCT</u> , <u>FF</u> .
	<u>METHOD</u>
	Should become ANALYSIS.

4C-2/34

10243.001

STANDARD

Should be (5-B-10,NA): Author's "Absolute" clearly means: expressed in barns normalized to a well-established reference value.

.002

DATA

A Line 21: DATA-ERR should be .04? DATA-ERR has wrong units.

10247.001

METHOD

Add (TOF).

REFERENCE

Add month 03.

STANDARD

Drop.

.002-004

DATA

? Independent variable repeated 182.9 keV.

10253.001

FACILITY

Code (DYNAM) missing.

ERR-ANALYS

Absolute error is total error?

10276.001

STANDARD

Comma missing in code.

.002

DATA

? Independent variable repeated: 18.58, 26.52(3*), 34.43.

.003,005,007)
.009,011,013)
.016,019)

STATUS

(DEP) missing.

.021

ISO-QUANT

Average over angle? Limits are not given.

4C-2/34

TRANS 9012 (Dictionary tape)

Dict. 006

Lines 18 and 31: Duplicate code CP-.

Dict. 018

DYNOM: it should be Dynamitron.
Ought we to change the code to DYNAM?

Distribution

Dr. V. Manokhin (5)
Dr. S. Pearlstein "
Dr. J. Schmidt "

OECD

NUCLEAR ENERGY AGENCY

DAI/324-0

Dr. J.J. Schmidt

*With the compliments
of the
Neutron Data
Compilation Centre*

14/9/72

**INFORMATION COPY
ONLY**

+
encl } Schmidt
NDS
Dunford
Council
Rhee

P.O. BOX No. 9
91-GIF-sur-YVETTE
Tel. 951-73.33